

## 30th Annual ATEE Conference overall evaluation

Evaluation forms received:	52
----------------------------	----

	Poor		Adequate		Very good	n/a-did not attend
	1	2	3	4	5	
<b>1. Programme</b>						
a. Pre conference workshop	0%	0%	2%	0%	13%	85%
b. Newcomers meeting	6%	6%	12%	4%	10%	62%
c. Welcome reception	4%	4%	8%	25%	44%	17%
d. Keynote Eckhard Klieme	0%	6%	8%	21%	52%	13%
e. keynote Bob Koster	2%	4%	8%	27%	54%	5%
f. Opening session as a whole	0%	2%	10%	27%	56%	5%
g. Group discussions	4%	2%	25%	29%	21%	19%
i. Parallel sessions	2%	2%	31%	35%	27%	3%
j. RDC business meetings	4%	2%	8%	17%	37%	32%
k. Walking tour 'The Amsterdam School'	0%	4%	2%	12%	12%	70%
l. Poster session	0%	0%	25%	17%	23%	35%
m. Social dinner	2%	0%	0%	19%	37%	42%
n. Closing keynote: Sean Feerick	2%	2%	19%	21%	33%	23%
o. Closing session as a whole	2%	2%	12%	23%	33%	28%
<b>2. Organisation</b>						
a. Conference website	0%	0%	12%	29%	46%	13%
b. Conference desk and registration	0%	2%	4%	19%	73%	2%
c. Catering (lunches)	10%	2%	29%	23%	35%	1%
d. Conference venue: Beurs van Berlage	0%	0%	8%	13%	73%	6%
e. Conference venue: Kohnstammhuis	0%	0%	12%	29%	58%	1%
f. Rooms for sessions and meetings	2%	4%	12%	29%	52%	1%
g. Equipment for presentations	0%	2%	8%	25%	58%	7%
h. Overall organisation	0%	0%	6%	31%	60%	3%
i. Atmosphere	0%	2%	2%	25%	60%	11%
j. Overall impression of the conference	0%	2%	6%	31%	61%	

### 3. More comments

- Very good and innovative organisation
- the climate was friendly, less formal! the content focused to a narrower theme so that it can be deeper, it's very good! the idea of debate was excellent but the realisation did not succeed
- ladies at conference desk excellent, too many RDC's, too many parallel sessions, difficult time of year, need to revert to early September, ATEE seems to require some restructuring of conference format
- very inspiring, democratic
- my compliments
- it was the best conference in professional fields
- too bad about the pre conference workshops, lovely and welcoming all-around atmosphere
- some of the rooms were a little awkward for seating for presentation e.g. A3.27
- very good organisation, concrete useful content of the conference
- it is so nice to be back, hoping this time there will be some chances for our growth, in that sense I would suggest to emphasize publishing opportunities for people from less developed countries to do joint projects
- very good conference, well done, suggestion for next year to reduce the number of parallel sessions so that participants can attend most sessions during the conference
- too many evaluations, after each session, too many clashes of good papers/presentations, RDC overlaps need attention, exciting program overall
- very good organisation and atmosphere, interesting presentations, I think we need more time for discussions, particularly after the presentation of keynote speakers
- wonderful conference
- it was impressive for me, there were opportunities for participants to be included in ATEE-activities, but I worry about possibility to participate in next steps and conferences because of funding problems, that type of problem maybe is the reason there are not many participants from East Europe and the Balkan
- more attention to newcomers in RDC's, make it home for them, especially we should think of the 1st session for them in RDC's, keynotes well presented, cannot be better, clear, easy to hear and follow, the coming conference should learn from Amsterdam. Marco, thanks, a good job, the conference is well organised round the theme, also very good
- a lot of parallel sessions is a really good idea to promote discussions and exchange of ideas and give the possibility to choose according to professional interest
- wonderful conference, the best in the last years, thank you very much!
- the very intensive nature of the conference made social interaction very difficult for those with 'chair' roles, few opportunities for 'choice' of papers to attend, attendance at Tuesday am sessions very poor
- many and sincere congratulations to whole organising team for highly efficient and enjoyable conference, cohesive theme for conference was very useful, I don't think it is appropriate to invite same keynote speaker 2 years running
- too many parallel sessions at once and not enough time slots to ensure maximum flexibility within a manageable framework, however a very enjoyable and informative conference nonetheless: a valuable source of new information
- more parallel sessions (at least 6 more)

- there was too many conference groups, because in many of them there were only few attendants, between 5 and 10, except that the programme of the conference has been excellent, a very good professional conference
- it would be nice if ATEE could develop a forum to exchange research experiences and results (empirical research) as well, I sometimes felt that there is too much emphasis on the interactive parts (perhaps because of the evaluation form?), at the open session the programme should be presented more in detail (standard conference within the conference), apart from these few critical remarks a very good conference, thank you
- excellent conference with a 'follow through' of the theme from the beginning to the end, there was a lot of engagement during the whole conference to the main theme, I believe that the conference has given the participants the possibility to participate in an important debate and also given the feeling and especially 'hope' that teacher educators have the possibility to be pro-active in the whole debate, important steps seem to have been taken in this direction, the foundations are laid!
- rooms for sessions were too small, hard to move around without making a lot of noise, computer equipment was not available for my own presentation, luckily I did have slides with me
- the technical equipment has to be checked before presentations and the chair person has to come some minutes earlier to chaired sessions, sometimes more breaks are needed e.g. between the key note speakers on Sunday
- warm enabling atmosphere, enhancing par dialogue
- 'research' was an often used word, mainly qualitative research was meant, the 'standards' of research are not too high, more qualitative empirical research seems to be needed
- can anything be done to encourage newcomers to understand RDC's e.g. info in programme and a clearer structure?
- too many sessions with only a few participants, why did we have to move to Beurs van Berlage? more time should be given for group discussions on standards, discussants were very good, so were the keynotes